

「知道错了没」

——如何让队友认错

Chris Xiong

2017-07-21

「知道错了没」

Outline

- ▶ 采访
- ▶ dp: $d(ui)p(ai)$
- ▶ dp: Knapsack problem
- ▶ 如何让队友认错之如何殴打队友

采访

a.k.a. 教学质量检查

- ▶ 上次课讲的内容大家都听懂了吗?

采访

a.k.a. 教学质量检查

- ▶ 上次课讲的内容大家都听懂了吗?
- ▶ 什么? 不懂?

采访

a.k.a. 教学质量检查

- ▶ 上次课讲的内容大家都听懂了吗?
- ▶ 什么? 不懂?
- ▶ 那还记得上次讲的什么吗?

采访

a.k.a. 教学质量检查

- ▶ 上次课讲的内容大家都听懂了吗?
- ▶ 什么? 不懂?
- ▶ 那还记得上次讲的什么吗?
- ▶ A Water Problem

采访

a.k.a. 教学质量检查

- ▶ 上次课讲的内容大家都听懂了吗?
- ▶ 什么? 不懂?
- ▶ 那还记得上次讲的什么吗?
- ▶ A Water Problem
- ▶ 已知

$$f(x+1) = \begin{cases} a & x = 0 \\ b & x = 1 \\ f(x) + f(x-1) + \sin(\frac{\pi x}{2}) & \textit{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

对于给定的 a, b, n , 求 $f(n)$ 。 $n \leq 10^{18}$ 。

- ▶ 给大家5分钟思考时间。

采访

怎么样，是不是不会啊？

- ▶ 都怪宇宙智障。

俞旭铮([1149901132](#)) 00:19:51

gg 我都不会（

采访

怎么样，是不是不会啊？

- ▶ 都怪宇宙智障。

俞旭铮([1149901132](#)) 00:19:51

gg 我都不会（

- ▶ 提示：

采访

怎么样，是不是不会啊？

- ▶ 都怪宇宙智障。

俞旭铮([1149901132](#)) 00:19:51

gg 我都不会(

- ▶ 提示：周期！！

采访

怎么样，是不是不会啊？

- ▶ 都怪宇宙智障。

俞旭铮(1149901132) 00:19:51

gg 我都不会(

- ▶ 提示：周期！！
- ▶ 还不会的话就去找宇宙智障。



俞旭铮

(你看 你也可以趁机表扬我一发对不对> >

- ▶ (听说你想要表扬 厚颜无耻)

$d(ui)p(ai)$

- ▶ WTF is duipai?

$d(ui)p(ai)$

- ▶ WTF is duipai?
- ▶ Automated generation of test data and execution of several programs.

$d(ui)p(ai)$

- ▶ WTF is duipai?
- ▶ Automated generation of test data and execution of several programs.
- ▶ And most importantly, compare their results.

d(ui)p(ai)

- ▶ WTF is duipai?
- ▶ Automated generation of test data and execution of several programs.
- ▶ And most importantly, compare their results.
- ▶ ~~A nice way to waste time if you are stuck.~~

A sample script for UNIX-like OS

```
#!/bin/bash
i=0
while(true)
do
    ./170312cgen > test.in
    ./170312ca < test.in > aa.out
    ./170312cb < test.in > bb.out
    diff aa.out bb.out
    if [ $? -ne 0 ]
    then
        break
    fi
    echo $i passed
    let i++
done
```


How to use it?

- ▶ Modify the script to your needs.
- ▶ Save it as a script, e.g.: "xxx.sh".
- ▶ Give it the permission to execute. Run `chmod +x <your_script_name_here>` in a terminal.
- ▶ Run it! Type `./<your_script_name_here>` in a terminal.

What does this script do?

- ▶ Run the input generator.
- ▶ Feed the generated input to the compared program A and gather results from it.
- ▶ Do the same thing with program B.
- ▶ Check the output. If they differ, terminate the script. Otherwise loop.

Explanation

- ▶ `while(true)`
do
done
break
- ▶ `> <`
redirection
- ▶ `if`
then
fi
\$?
[, -ne
- ▶ Verification: `diff` / custom program

Alternative approaches

- ▶ Write a C/C++ program instead of a shell script?
- ▶ `system()` in `stdlib.h` (`cstdlib`)
- ▶ return value of `system()`
- ▶ Windows batch file:
 - ▶ `IF %ERRORLEVEL% EQU 0(GOTO :loop)`
- ▶ Powershell?

Writing input generators

- ▶ Random?
- ▶ Constructed special cases?

Knapsack problem

I suck at this

- ▶ Unbounded knapsack problem
- ▶ Bounded knapsack problem
 - ▶ 0/1 knapsack problem
- ▶ NP-complete!
- ▶ A No-Dynamic-Programming-At-All variant

Knapsack problem

The No-DP-At-All variant

Fractional knapsack problem (a.k.a. Continuous knapsack problem)

- ▶ A knapsack of capacity W .
- ▶ N items, each having its weight w_i and value per unit weight v_i .
- ▶ Select an amount x_i of each item so that the total weight doesn't exceed the capacity ($\sum_i x_i \leq W$) and maximizing the total value $\sum_i x_i \times v_i$, where $x_i \in \mathbb{R}, x_i \geq 0$.

Knapsack problem

The No-DP-At-All variant

Fractional knapsack problem (a.k.a. Continuous knapsack problem)

- ▶ A knapsack of capacity W .
- ▶ N items, each having its weight w_i and value per unit weight v_i .
- ▶ Select an amount x_i of each item so that the total weight doesn't exceed the capacity ($\sum_i x_i \leq W$) and maximizing the total value $\sum_i x_i \times v_i$, where $x_i \in \mathbb{R}, x_i \geq 0$.
- ▶ Greedy.

Knapsack problem

0/1 knapsack problem

- ▶ Still a knapsack of capacity W .
- ▶ Still N items, each having its weight w_i and value v_i .
- ▶ For each item, determine whether to put it in the knapsack so that the total weight doesn't exceed the capacity and the total value is maximum.

Knapsack problem

0/1 knapsack problem

A brute-force solution:

```
def dfs(i,remaining_capacity):  
    if(i==0): return 0;  
    if(remaining_capacity<0):  
        return -inf;  
    r1=dfs(i-1,remaining_capacity);  
    r2=dfs(i-1,remaining_capacity-w[i])+v[i];  
    return max(r1,r2);
```

- ▶ Call $\text{dfs}(N,W)$ for answer.
- ▶ Each non-trivial invocation of dfs branch into two paths.
- ▶ Time complexity: $O(2^N)$.
- ▶ A minor optimization: replace the second condition statement with $\text{if}(\text{remaining_capacity} < w[i]): \text{return dfs}(i-1, \text{remaining_capacity});$

Knapsack problem

0/1 knapsack problem

A effective optimization: memoization.

```
f=[[-1 for i in range(N)] for j in range(W)]
def dfs(i,remaining_capacity):
    if(i==0): return 0;
    if(remaining_capacity<w[i]):
        return dfs(i-1,remaining_capacity);
    if(f[i][remaining_capacity]!=-1):
        return f[i][remaining_capacity];
    f[i][remaining_capacity]=max(
        dfs(i-1,remaining_capacity),
        dfs(i-1,remaining_capacity-w[i])+v[i]);
    return f[i][remaining_capacity];
```

Knapsack problem

0/1 knapsack problem

- ▶ For each parameter tuple of dfs, the function may only branch once.
- ▶ Time complexity: $O(NW)$.

It's a pseudo-polynomial algorithm, so the knapsack problem is still NP-complete.

Knapsack problem

0/1 knapsack problem

- ▶ For each parameter tuple of dfs, the function may only branch once.
- ▶ Time complexity: $O(NW)$.

It's a pseudo-polynomial algorithm, so the knapsack problem is still NP-complete.

- ▶ Why does this work?

Knapsack problem

0/1 knapsack problem

- ▶ For each parameter tuple of dfs, the function may only branch once.
- ▶ Time complexity: $O(NW)$.

It's a pseudo-polynomial algorithm, so the knapsack problem is still NP-complete.

- ▶ Why does this work?
- ▶ Once the result for a specific parameter tuple has been calculated, will it change any further?
- ▶ Non-aftereffect property.

Knapsack problem

0/1 knapsack problem

- ▶ For each parameter tuple of dfs, the function may only branch once.
- ▶ Time complexity: $O(NW)$.

It's a pseudo-polynomial algorithm, so the knapsack problem is still NP-complete.

- ▶ Why does this work?
- ▶ Once the result for a specific parameter tuple has been calculated, will it change any further?
- ▶ Non-aftereffect property.
- ▶ Recursion? Phooey! That will be a lot of context switches!

Knapsack problem

0/1 knapsack problem

- ▶ For each parameter tuple of dfs, the function may only branch once.
- ▶ Time complexity: $O(NW)$.

It's a pseudo-polynomial algorithm, so the knapsack problem is still NP-complete.

- ▶ Why does this work?
- ▶ Once the result for a specific parameter tuple has been calculated, will it change any further?
- ▶ Non-aftereffect property.
- ▶ Recursion? Phooey! That will be a lot of context switches!
- ▶ Time for some black magic!

Knapsack problem

0/1 knapsack problem

The iteration version.

```
f=[[0 for i in xrange(N)] for j in xrange(W)]
for i in xrange(1,N):
    for j in xrange(0,W):
        f[i][j]=max(f[i-1][j],
                    f[i-1][j-w[i]]+v[i] if j>=w[i] else 0);
```

Knapsack problem

0/1 knapsack problem

- ▶ Recall that in the memoization version, in order to calculate results for $f[i, \textit{remaining_capacity}]$ we must already have at least two results for $f[i - 1, x]$.
- ▶ Why don't we calculate all $f[i - 1, x]$ before calculating $f[i, x]$?

Knapsack problem

0/1 knapsack problem

- ▶ Recall that in the memoization version, in order to calculate results for $f[i, \textit{remaining_capacity}]$ we must already have at least two results for $f[i - 1, x]$.
- ▶ Why don't we calculate all $f[i - 1, x]$ before calculating $f[i, x]$?
- ▶ Got the maximum value now! Want the list of selected items?

Knapsack problem

0/1 knapsack problem

- ▶ Recall that in the memoization version, in order to calculate results for $f[i, \textit{remaining_capacity}]$ we must already have at least two results for $f[i - 1, x]$.
- ▶ Why don't we calculate all $f[i - 1, x]$ before calculating $f[i, x]$?
- ▶ Got the maximum value now! Want the list of selected items?
- ▶ Traceback.

Knapsack problem

0/1 knapsack problem

- ▶ When we are at $i = x$ of the outer loop, all values in $f[y], y < x - 1$ are no longer used.
- ▶ If we don't need to traceback, can we save a bit of memory?

Knapsack problem

0/1 knapsack problem

- ▶ When we are at $i = x$ of the outer loop, all values in $f[y], y < x - 1$ are no longer used.
- ▶ If we don't need to traceback, can we save a bit of memory?
- ▶ Yes! Just throw them away!

```
f=[0 for i in xrange(W)]
for i in xrange(1,N):
    for j in xrange(W,w[i],-1):
        f[j]=max(f[j],f[j-w[i]]+v[i]);
```

Knapsack problem

0/1 knapsack problem

- ▶ How does this work?

Knapsack problem

0/1 knapsack problem

- ▶ How does this work?
($g[i][j]$ denotes the original $f[i][j]$ from the two dimensional iterative solution.)
- ▶ When we are at $j = y$ of the inner loop, $f[0..y]$ are values from $g[i - 1]$ and $f[y + 1..W]$ contains values from $g[i]$.
- ▶ Why reverse the inner loop?

Knapsack problem

0/1 knapsack problem

- ▶ How does this work?
($g[i][j]$ denotes the original $f[i][j]$ from the two dimensional iterative solution.)
- ▶ When we are at $j = y$ of the inner loop, $f[0..y]$ are values from $g[i - 1]$ and $f[y + 1..W]$ contains values from $g[i]$.
- ▶ Why reverse the inner loop?
- ▶ Because we still need the values with smaller *remaining_capacity* from the last iteration!

Knapsack problem

Unbounded knapsack problem

- ▶ Same as the 0/1 knapsack problem, but each item has unlimited copies.

Knapsack problem

Unbounded knapsack problem

- ▶ Same as the 0/1 knapsack problem, but each item has unlimited copies.
- ▶ Converting to 0/1 knapsack problem?

Knapsack problem

Unbounded knapsack problem

- ▶ Same as the 0/1 knapsack problem, but each item has unlimited copies.
- ▶ Converting to 0/1 knapsack problem?
- ▶ Imitating Binary. We can obtain any multiplicity of items from a combination of $1x$, $2x$, $4x$, $8x$, ... of that item.

Knapsack problem

Unbounded knapsack problem

- ▶ Same as the 0/1 knapsack problem, but each item has unlimited copies.
- ▶ Converting to 0/1 knapsack problem?
- ▶ Imitating Binary. We can obtain any multiplicity of items from a combination of $1x$, $2x$, $4x$, $8x$, ... of that item.
- ▶ Any other solutions?

Knapsack problem

Unbounded knapsack problem

Another solution:

```
f=[0 for i in xrange(W)]
for i in xrange(1,N):
    for j in xrange(w[i],W):
        f[j]=max(f[j],f[j-w[i]]+v[i]);
```

Wait... Isn't this our final solution for the 0/1 knapsack problem?

Knapsack problem

Unbounded knapsack problem

- ▶ Not exactly! Note that the inner loop now iterate from $w[i]$ to W .
- ▶ Why?

Knapsack problem

Unbounded knapsack problem

- ▶ Not exactly! Note that the inner loop now iterate from $w[i]$ to W .
- ▶ Why?
- ▶ Let's revisit the reason to iterate in reverse order in 0/1 knapsack problem:
- ▶ We still need the values with smaller *remaining_capacity* from the last iteration.

Knapsack problem

Unbounded knapsack problem

- ▶ Not exactly! Note that the inner loop now iterate from $w[i]$ to W .
- ▶ Why?
- ▶ Let's revisit the reason to iterate in reverse order in 0/1 knapsack problem:
- ▶ We still need the values with smaller *remaining_capacity* from the last iteration.
- ▶ Why do we need *those values*, instead of the shiny new values we just obtained?

Knapsack problem

Unbounded knapsack problem

- ▶ Not exactly! Note that the inner loop now iterate from $w[i]$ to W .
- ▶ Why?
- ▶ Let's revisit the reason to iterate in reverse order in 0/1 knapsack problem:
- ▶ We still need the values with smaller *remaining_capacity* from the last iteration.
- ▶ Why do we need *those values*, instead of the shiney new values we just obtained?
- ▶ Because these values do not take the current item into consideration, effectively ensuring that every item can be used at most once.
- ▶ But now we have unlimited copies of each item!

Knapsack problem

Bounded knapsack problem

- ▶ Same as the 0/1 knapsack problem, but each item has C_i copies.
- ▶ POJ 1276

Knapsack problem

Bounded knapsack problem

- ▶ Same as the 0/1 knapsack problem, but each item has C_i copies.
- ▶ POJ 1276
- ▶ Still solve by converting to a 0/1 knapsack problem.

Knapsack problem

Bounded knapsack problem

- ▶ Same as the 0/1 knapsack problem, but each item has C_i copies.
- ▶ POJ 1276
- ▶ Still solve by converting to a 0/1 knapsack problem.
- ▶ How to limit the maximum number of copies?

Knapsack problem

Bounded knapsack problem

- ▶ Same as the 0/1 knapsack problem, but each item has C_i copies.
- ▶ POJ 1276
- ▶ Still solve by converting to a 0/1 knapsack problem.
- ▶ How to limit the maximum number of copies?
- ▶ By modifying the largest group so that if all groups are selected, the sum of multiplicity equals to C_i .

Knapsack problem

Bounded knapsack problem

Another "stupid" solution that can also be applied to the unbounded knapsack problem:

- ▶ For each item, we have $C_i + 1$ choices.
- ▶ We just iterate through these choices to update $f[][]$.
- ▶ This solution runs for $O(W \sum C_i)$.
- ▶ However it can be further optimized to $O(NW)$ using some advanced DP optimization technics.

Knapsack problem

Bounded knapsack problem

Another "stupid" solution that can also be applied to the unbounded knapsack problem:

- ▶ For each item, we have $C_i + 1$ choices.
- ▶ We just iterate through these choices to update $f[][]$.
- ▶ This solution runs for $O(W \sum C_i)$.
- ▶ However it can be further optimized to $O(NW)$ using some advanced DP optimization technics.
- ▶ We are not covering that here today.
- ▶ More about knapsack problems:
<https://github.com/tianyicui/pack>

以下内容仅供娱乐

如何殴打队友

——何时应当考虑殴打队友？

- ▶ 当队友占3个小时键盘什么都没写出来时
- ▶ 当队友开一题WA一题时
- ▶ 当队友开始表演口技时
- ▶ 当队友热身赛开可乐洒了一地时
- ▶ 当队友看到树就想重心分解时

如何殴打队友

——正题

- ▶ 像现在这么殴打（宣传光辉事迹）
- ▶ 比赛时不准碰键盘
- ▶ 表演口技时录音
- ▶ WA一题灌一瓶可乐，不准洒（大家可以算一下光这张图就要喝多少瓶）



俞旭铮

7月13日 23:20

欢声笑语中打出gg
辣鸡线段树 (:3 > <)_

Run ID	Submit Time	Judge Status	Pro.ID	Exe. Time	Exe. Memory	Code Len.	Language
21108874	2017-07-13 23:14:29	Accepted	5306	2854MS	34888K	3097 B	G++
21088867	2017-07-12 17:58:39	Time Limit Exceeded	5306	3000MS	31160K	3252 B	G++
21086626	2017-07-12 16:34:27	Wrong Answer	5306	2012MS	31200K	2714 B	G++
21082698	2017-07-12 13:58:01	Wrong Answer	5306	2698MS	34888K	2378 B	G++
21061614	2017-07-11 10:38:46	Wrong Answer	5306	2964MS	34892K	2577 B	G++
21061376	2017-07-11 10:27:29	Runtime Error (ACCESS_VIOLATION)	5306	0MS	1840K	2577 B	G++
21061337	2017-07-11 10:25:58	Runtime Error (ACCESS_VIOLATION)	5306	0MS	1844K	2575 B	G++
21061201	2017-07-11 10:20:33	Runtime Error (ACCESS_VIOLATION)	5306	0MS	1840K	2576 B	G++
21061091	2017-07-11 10:15:02	Runtime Error (ACCESS_VIOLATION)	5306	0MS	1844K	2574 B	G++
21060673	2017-07-11 09:51:18	Runtime Error (ACCESS_VIOLATION)	5306	15MS	1836K	2650 B	G++
21059471	2017-07-11 01:25:39	Runtime Error (ACCESS_VIOLATION)	5306	0MS	1832K	2593 B	G++
21059433	2017-07-11 01:02:10	Runtime Error (ACCESS_VIOLATION)	5306	0MS	1836K	2578 B	G++

来自 小米5

如何殴打队友

——殴打队友时需要注意的地方

- ▶ 注意殴打的度——虽然原则上是越重越好，但是如果你的队友是个卜力星人，殴打太重会导致其发射大量宇宙射线，导致「伤敌800，自损1000」的尴尬情形。
- ▶ 殴打方式要适当。比如其在表演口技不应该使用灌可乐的手法，因为容易洒一地。
- ▶ 适可而止。如果感觉队友能A题了就让其施展一发（没A就接着灌）。

如何殴打队友

Bonus: 利用宇宙射线

如果你发现你的队友会发射宇宙射线，那么它可能是可以被利用的。可利用的宇宙射线的发射者是会认错的。这里有一个正面例子和一个反面例子：

- ▶ 黄焖蓉：发射射线导致临近的队伍接连两次CE。
- ▶ 宇宙智障：发射射线导致队友高数全部忘光。

如你所见，第一类射线是可以加以利用的；而第二类射线则是「射别人一个也射不中，射自己人一射一个准」的。大家要尽量做好对第二类射线的防护工作。关于这个问题我们下次再说（如果还有下次机会的话）。

如何殴打队友

So... what's the point?

- ▶ 合理利用时间
- ▶ 卡题时的处理方式
- ▶ 队内的合作
- ▶ 其他队伍的影响